Blame

How the wisdom coding system works

WISDOM CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Type of wisdom (purple box)
E = Evolutionary wisdom
R = Revealed wisdom
H = Hybrid wisdom (evolutionary + revealed mix)

Target audience (green box)
P = Personal
G = Group (non-personal)

Type of content (yellow box)
C = Curated content (paraphrased, re-written, enhanced, translated, etc.)
O = Original unedited content from external source (direct excerpts, complete content, etc.)
M = Mix of both curated and original content.

Reliability factor of content (blue box)
1 = High
2 = Average
3 = Low
X = Cannot be determined.

APPLICABLE JURISDICTION(S)
[ ] soil   [ ] Land   [ ] Sea   [ ] AIR

- Check boxes indicate to which planetary jurisdiction the contents of the page is applicable.
- Can apply to one or more jurisdictions simultaneously.
- All the applicable jurisdictions will have a check mark.
- For additional information about the scope of these jurisdictions please refer to this chart.
- For additional information about the properties of these jurisdictions please refer to this chart.

The Casting of Blame

CLASSIFICATION			
R	G	O	1
☑ soil	☑ Land	☑ Sea	☑ AIR

SOURCE:
Lightline Teleconference 2025-01-16
Teacher: Amanson
T/R: Mark

Amanson on The Casting of Blame

'The Casting of Blame' is an attempt to get out from under the shadow of your own guilt, or at least the shadow of your own unconscious suspicions. Blame is an irritating thing - it taints both the blamer and the blamed. It seeks to — or rather, purports to — offer a solution; it appears an attempt to find the truth, to ascribe responsibility for a situation, but what it actually does is add another layer of disruption onto an already fraught confluence of events.

When one blames another, blame acts as a sort of bludgeon, used not to relieve matters but to keep the cacophony going, and, as I've said, in hopes of getting out from under the shadow of one's own insecurities. But it will always fail. How could it not? It extends a web that would be much more useful as a web of inquiry into the origins and ramifications of the incident, how it might have been avoided and how its consequences might be better dealt with now, rather than the superficial and ultimately meaningless casting of blame. It's useless, although in the moment it might seem useful, even justified.

Blame is tossed about like so much confetti at a parade these days. It rains down like ticker tape on to the passers by, although this particular confetti is anything but celebrational. That is where the metaphor ends, a metaphor used to underscore blame's unfortunate prevalence in your modern world, at least in your present day society.

How much good work, how many fortuitous answers, helpful solutions are lost to the casting of blame? All the energy, the ingenuity used in the concocting of excuses and "passing the buck"

that could be beneficially put to use in the constructive approach to a problem - the participants free of blame, free from the need to defend and explain themselves, able now to buckle down and work out a solution to a mistake that somebody made. Who cares? There's a problem - let's fix it. As you're so fond of quoting, "Difficulties only stimulate the children of the Most Highs".

This is the beauty of disagreements - they demand an agreement of some sort, a creative meeting of the minds, something original to accommodate this new situation the quarrelsome parties find themselves so fortunate to be faced with: a new problem! Let's delve. Let's explore. Blame? Why would I blame you? We've a situation here. Together we can get to the bottom of it and most likely learn something about ourselves in the process. That's how to successfully cast blame - you cast it out!